

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

8 JEROME CEASAR ALVERTO,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 CHRISTOPHER SCHENK, et al.,

12 Defendants.
13

Case No. C18-1381-JCC-MLP

ORDER DIRECTING RESPONSE TO
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL

14 This is a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 prisoner civil rights action. Plaintiff filed a motion to compel
15 the defendants to explain why the identity of “Defendant (DEF) Jane/Joe Doe #1” identified in
16 his complaint is not discoverable. Dkt. No. 18. Plaintiff asserts that he is attempting to discover
17 the identity of the work supervisor of named defendant Christopher Schenk, *i.e.*, whoever “is
18 responsible for placing [defendant Schenk] in work assignments that reduce conflict and promote
19 safety/security of the facility.” *Id.* at 2. Plaintiff argues that it is unclear why the identity of this
20 individual has not been produced by defendants, despite his request during a telephonic
21 conference with opposing counsel. *Id.* at 1.

22 Defendants indicate that, absent direction by the Court, they do not intend to submit a
23 “substantive response to this motion directed to an unidentified, unserved, and unrepresented

1 individual.” Dkt. No. 19 at 1. As it appears likely that defendants are in the best position to
2 disclose the identity of defendant Schenk’s supervisor, the Court ORDERS defendants either to
3 provide the name to plaintiff and notify the Court or substantively respond to plaintiff’s motion
4 to compel by no later than **April 2, 2019**.

5 The Clerk is directed to send copies of this order to the parties and to the Honorable
6 Marsha J. Pechman.

7 Dated this 19th day of March, 2019.

8
9 

10 MICHELLE L. PETERSON
11 United States Magistrate Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23